OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Sub: Minutes of the 19th meeting of Apex Committee under Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) – Reg.

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith the minutes of the 19th meeting of Apex Committee under Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) held in the Ministry of Housing & Urban Affairs on 29.05.2018 for information and necessary action.

Encls.: As above.

(Harish Chandra Prasad)
Under Secretary (AMRUT-IIIB)
Tel. No.: 011-23063488

To,

1. Secretary (Department of Expenditure).
2. Secretary (Department of Economic Affairs).
3. Principal Advisor (HUD), NITI Aayog.
4. Secretary (Drinking Water & Sanitation).
5. Secretary (Environment, Forest & Climate Change).
6. Additional Secretary (UA), MoHUA.
7. Additional Secretary (Housing), MoHUA.
8. Additional Secretary (D&C), MoHUA.
9. Joint Secretary (PF-II), Department of Expenditure.
10. Joint Secretary & Financial Advisor, MoHUA.
11. Joint Secretary (SBM), MoHUA.
12. OSD (UT), MoHUA.
15. Director, NIUA, India Habitat Centre, New Delhi.
17. Deputy Secretary (Urban Transport- I).

Copy for further necessary action to:

1. The Secretary / Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, All States/UTs.
2. The State Mission Directors (AMRUT), All States/UTs.
Copy for information to:

1. PSO to Secretary (HUA).
2. PS to Joint Secretary (AMRUT).
3. PS to Media Advisor in Office of Hon’ble Minister of State (I/C).
4. Deputy Director (AMRUT).
5. Assistant Director (AMRUT).
Minutes of the 19th meeting of Apex Committee of Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) held on 29.05.2018 under the Chairmanship of Secretary (HUA) The List of Participants is annexed.

The Joint Secretary and National Mission Director welcomed Secretary (Housing & Urban Affairs), and members of Apex Committee and officers from the Governments of Punjab and Puducherry.

He outlined the agenda for the meeting. Discussions on the agenda items were initiated as following:

**Agenda 1: Progress in implementation of projects**

The overall progress of the Mission was briefly presented by the National Mission Director before the members to apprise them about the present status. Ministry has already released Rs. 9,833 crore as Central Assistance under AMRUT. Further, Mission Director informed that some of the States, viz. Andhra Pradesh, Telangana and Madhya Pradesh have shown very encouraging progress by awarding contracts for projects costing more than 95% of their approved SAAP size. However, States such as Arunachal Pradesh, Assam and Meghalaya are yet to award contracts for any project. Overall, DPRs for projects costing 89% of the approved SAAP size have been approved and contracts for projects costing 56% of the approved SAAP size have been awarded under the Mission. The detail of progress of Mission is as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approved SAAP</th>
<th>DPRs approved by SHPSC</th>
<th>Work Completed</th>
<th>Contract awarded</th>
<th>NIT issued</th>
<th>DPRs approved and tenders to be invited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77,640</td>
<td>69,452</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>42,751</td>
<td>13,522</td>
<td>12,679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the discussion with representatives of Punjab and Puducherry Government, Secretary (HUA) emphasized on fast-pacing the approval of pending DPRs and award of contracts.

**Decision of Apex Committee:**

The Apex Committee decided that the progress of the Mission may be expedited in all States/UTs.

**Agenda 2: Appraisal of final approval of SAAP-III of 13 States/UTs**

Apex Committee, in its 14th meeting held on 18.11.2016, has mandated that the following benchmarks has to be achieved for final approval of SAAP-III in respect of all States/UTs:

i. Projects amounting to at least 25% against SAAP I to be contracted.

ii. Projects amounting to at least 50% of total approvals (SAAP I & II) to have the DPRs approved.

iii. 100% of Central fund and corresponding State share against the SAAP-I and SAAP-II to be transferred to the State Mission Directorate/ ULBs/ Parastatals (as the case may be).

iv. PDMC should have been appointed and be in place.
v. Regarding credit rating, work must be awarded for all Mission Cities and credit rating targeted to be completed by March 2017.

Based on the above milestones, Apex Committee had approved SAAP III of 12 States/UTs (Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Chandigarh, Chhattisgarh, Gujarat, Jammu & Kashmir, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Mizoram, Odisha and Rajasthan) in its 14th, 15th, 16th & 17th meetings.

Further, National Mission Director apprised the Apex Committee in its 17th meeting that although the SAAP-III of certain States/UTs were found to be in order, the States/UTs have not achieved the above mentioned milestones required for the approval of SAAP-III. Apex Committee therefore decided to withhold the approval of SAAP-III in respect of these States/UTs till they achieve the desired progress. Apex Committee also authorized Secretary (HUA) to approve the SAAP-III of these States/UTs on achievement of the requisite milestones.

In its 18th meeting held on 09.10.2017, Apex Committee was apprised of approvals and fund releases of SAAP-III of 20 States/UTs. Thereafter, SAAP-III of 9 more States/UTs (Daman & Diu, NCT of Delhi, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Manipur, Puducherry, Sikkim, Telangana and Tripura) have been approved by Secretary (HUA) in consultation with IFD on achievement of the requisite milestones for final approval. The details of these 9 States/UTs are as under:

(All amounts in Rupees crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Name of State/UT</th>
<th>Water Supply</th>
<th>Sewerage &amp; Septage</th>
<th>Drainage</th>
<th>Urban Transport</th>
<th>Green Spaces/ Parks</th>
<th>Total SAAP Size</th>
<th>Committted Central Assistance</th>
<th>1st instalment of CA released</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Daman &amp; Diu</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.72 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.72 (1)</td>
<td>7.72 (1)</td>
<td>7.72 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NCT of Delhi</td>
<td>128.56 (4)</td>
<td>177.13 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.82 (2)</td>
<td>313.51 (9)</td>
<td>313.51 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>476.35 (35)</td>
<td>236.31 (21)</td>
<td>156.15 (49)</td>
<td>85.14 (26)</td>
<td>19.69 (22)</td>
<td>973.64 (15)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>973.64 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lakshadweep</td>
<td>1.54 (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.15 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.73 (15)</td>
<td>1.73 (15)</td>
<td>1.73 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>67.16 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.17 (14)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68.88 (15)</td>
<td>68.88 (15)</td>
<td>68.88 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Puducherry</td>
<td>22.50 (2)</td>
<td>1.23 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.34 (8)</td>
<td>24.34 (8)</td>
<td>24.34 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>3.00 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.20 (12)</td>
<td>5.77 (11)</td>
<td>0.33 (1)</td>
<td>13.30 (25)</td>
<td>13.30 (25)</td>
<td>13.30 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Telangana</td>
<td>559.98 (8)</td>
<td>126.00 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.00 (12)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>702.98 (22)</td>
<td>702.98 (22)</td>
<td>702.98 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>58.24 (3)</td>
<td>2.50 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.56 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62.30 (5)</td>
<td>62.30 (5)</td>
<td>62.30 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dadra &amp; Nagar Haveli</td>
<td>3.81 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.81 (1)**</td>
<td>3.81 (1)**</td>
<td>3.81 (1)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>A &amp; N Islands</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.94 (8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.10 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.04 (9)</td>
<td>4.04 (9)</td>
<td>4.04 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.50 (1)</td>
<td>31.14 (6)</td>
<td>7.50 (3)</td>
<td>1.10 (6)</td>
<td>45.24 (16)</td>
<td>45.24 (16)</td>
<td>45.24 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>400.89 (15)</td>
<td>779.28 (16)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19.78 (16)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1199.95 (47)</td>
<td>1199.95 (47)</td>
<td>1199.95 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,722.03 (80)</td>
<td>1,335.67 (48)</td>
<td>195.43 (75)</td>
<td>98.56 (44)</td>
<td>69.85 (79)</td>
<td>3,421.64 (326)</td>
<td>3,421.64 (326)</td>
<td>3,421.64 (326)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* figures in parenthesis indicate the number of projects.
** UT Administration has been directed to include projects of green spaces/parks costing up to 2.5% of its SAAP-III.

Decision of Apex Committee:

Apex Committee took note of the approval of SAAP-III and funds released as 1st instalment of committed Central Assistance to the above mentioned 13 States/UTs.

Agenda 3: Review of achievement of milestones in remaining 3 States.

National Mission Director informed the Committee that three (3) States, viz., Arunachal Pradesh, Assam and Meghalaya are yet to achieve all the requisite milestones set out by the Apex
Committee in its 14th meeting held on 18.11.2016 for approval of SAAP-III. The status of these 3 States are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of State</th>
<th>If contract awarded for projects costing at least 25% of SAAP-I</th>
<th>If DPIs approved for projects costing at least 50% of the combined size of SAAP I &amp; II</th>
<th>Whether CA released against SAAP I &amp; II and corresponding State share transferred to nodal account of State Mission Director</th>
<th>Status of PDMC (if appointed)</th>
<th>Credit Rating (Work Awarded/ Completed for all cities)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The National Mission Director also apprised the committee that regular reviews and video conferences are being held with these States requesting them to expedite the implementation of the Mission in their State. The States have also been addressed at various levels.

**Decision of Apex Committee**

The Apex Committee noted the progress of the States and decided that these States may be addressed to expedite the implementation of the Mission in a time bound manner.

**Agenda 4: Non-inclusion of project of park in SAAP-III of Daman & Diu.**

SAAP-III of Daman & Diu was considered in the 17th meeting of the Apex Committee and it was decided that, in addition to the achievement of the milestones set by the Committee in its 14th meeting, the approval would be further subject to inclusion of at least one project of park in SAAP-III and receipt of the minutes of meeting of SHPSC approving the SAAP-III proposal. The minutes of the meeting of SHPSC approving the SAAP-III proposal has since been received. Regarding inclusion of park project, UT Administration has submitted that one park project is already being developed in Daman Municipal Council (DMC) and it does not require more park projects as DMC is a small council.

Accordingly, SAAP-III of Daman & Diu was approved by Secretary (HUA) in consultation with IFD, subject to Apex Committee granting ex-post facto approval to SAAP-III of Daman & Diu sans any project related to park.

**Decision of the Apex Committee**

The Apex Committee observed that inclusion of projects related to green spaces/parks enhances the liveability standards of the cities. It was also observed that Daman Municipal Council has already included project of parks in its earlier SAAP. Accordingly, the Apex Committee was of the view that a special exception may be granted to Daman & Diu keeping in view the core objective of the Mission and the small allocation to SAAP-III of Daman & Diu under the Mission. The Apex Committee therefore approved the SAAP-III of Daman & Diu without any project related to parks/green spaces.
Agenda 5: Release of 2nd instalment of committed Central Assistance (CA) to various States/UTs

As per Para 9 of the Mission Guidelines, the committed CA will be released to the States/UTs in 3 instalments of 20:40:40. The 1st instalment of 20% of committed CA will be released immediately upon the approval of the SAAPs by the Apex Committee. Accordingly, the 1st instalment of committed CA (20%) has been released to the States/UTs as and when their SAAPs have been approved. The 2nd instalment of committed CA (40%) against all 3 SAAPs will be aggregated and released upon achievement of certain benchmarks, primarily as mentioned below. Any excess or shortfall in the 1st instalment of 20% against cost shall be adjusted while releasing the 2nd/3rd instalment of CA which will be based on approved cost. The approved cost is the appraised cost or the tendered cost of the project (whichever is less) and has to be taken into account by the SHPSC.

Major conditions for release of subsequent instalments against all 3 SAAPs:

i. Total SAAP size to be as per allocation. In case the cost of projects is above the allocation for a State/UT, the entire additional cost has to be incurred by the concerned State/UT.

ii. Utilisation of at least 75% of the amount already released by the Centre according to the funding pattern.

iii. Utilization of at least 75% of the State, ULB and Private Sector share, if any.

iv. Meeting the service level milestones as assured in the roadmap contained in the SAAPs and certified in the report of the Independent Review and Monitoring Agency (IRMA).

v. Mobilizing the assured resources as given in the SAAP by the States/UTs.

vi. Fulfilling any other conditions imposed by the SHPSC and the Apex Committee.

Andhra Pradesh, Chandigarh, Jammu & Kashmir, Karnataka and Odisha have fulfilled the above mentioned conditions except IRMA report and had raised their claim (in the format prescribed in the Mission Guidelines) for release of subsequent instalment of committed CA. In case of Mizoram, the IRMA report was also received. These claims were examined in consultation with IFD and Rs. 1,453.60 crore has been released to these 6 States/UTs as mentioned below:

All amounts in Rupees Crore

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of State/UT</th>
<th>Approved SAAP size for the entire Mission period (crore)</th>
<th>Estimated cost of projects for the entire Mission period (crore)</th>
<th>Total committed CA for the entire Mission period (crore)</th>
<th>1st instalment (20%) of CA released against all 3 SAAPs (crore)</th>
<th>2nd instalment (40%) of committed CA released against all 3 SAAPs (crore)</th>
<th>3rd instalment of committed CA released against all 3 SAAPs (crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>2890.17</td>
<td>2948.30</td>
<td>1056.62</td>
<td>211.32</td>
<td>422.65</td>
<td>316.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Chandigarh</td>
<td>95.07</td>
<td>100.12</td>
<td>54.09</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>21.64</td>
<td>16.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>593.05</td>
<td>593.05</td>
<td>533.72</td>
<td>106.74</td>
<td>213.49</td>
<td>158.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>4952.87</td>
<td>4952.87</td>
<td>2318.79</td>
<td>463.76</td>
<td>927.51</td>
<td>678.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>140.25</td>
<td>140.25</td>
<td>126.22</td>
<td>25.24</td>
<td>50.48</td>
<td>49.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Odisha</td>
<td>1598.96</td>
<td>1810.74*</td>
<td>796.97</td>
<td>159.40</td>
<td>318.78</td>
<td>234.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>10270.37</td>
<td>10545.33</td>
<td>4886.41</td>
<td>977.28</td>
<td>1954.55</td>
<td>1453.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* While evaluating the claims for release of 2nd instalment of committed CA, it has been observed that the estimated cost of projects for the entire Mission period is greater than the approved SAAP size for Andhra Pradesh, Chandigarh and Odisha. This enhancement has been attributed to rise in cost of projects during contract awarding/ DPR approval stage. Concerned State/UT Governments have certified that cost of the projects over and above the approved SAAP size will be incurred by them.
entirely in addition to their original commitment to the approved SAAPs. The Central Assistance for the entire Mission period will be limited to the commitment made to the State/UT.

As per the Mission Guidelines, interest earned by the State/UT Government on CA released as 1st instalment has been adjusted while releasing the 2nd instalment of CA. Further, State/UT Government has to transfer the CA funds along with the corresponding share of the State to the nodal account of the State Mission Director within 30 days of release of central funds by the Government. In case, there is a delay beyond this period, penal interest at the rates specified by the Ministry of Finance shall be levied and adjusted from subsequent instalment. Accordingly, this is also being taken into consideration while releasing subsequent instalments.

Partial payments against 2nd instalments of committed CA against all 3 SAAPs (combined) have been released to the States/UT except Mizoram with the approval of Hon'ble Minister of State (I/C). Further payments will be released upon receipt of IRMA report/certification from these States/UTs and after adjusting interest earned/deduction of penal amount as applicable. In case of Mizoram, entire 2nd instalment of CA has been released as IRMA has submitted its report.

Decision of Apex Committee:

The Apex Committee observed that in case of States/UTs take up projects over and above their approved SAAP size, the entire excess expenditure over approved SAAPs will be incurred by the concerned State/UT Government. The Committee also observed that States/UTs must strive for transfer of Central funds along with the corresponding State share within the stipulated time-frame to avoid deduction of penal interest, which may result in availability of lesser funds. With these observations, Apex Committee granted ex-post facto approval to the funds released as 2nd instalment of committed Central Assistance against all 3 SAAPs to the above mentioned 6 States/UT.

Agenda 6: One-time modification, if any, in approved SAAPs of States/UTs.

The Mission is about to complete 3 years of implementation. SAAP-I & II of all States/UTs have been approved. In respect of SAAP-III, 33 States/UTs have been granted final approval. All the projects approved in the various SAAPs have to be completed by the end of the Mission, i.e., by March, 2020. However, it is being noticed that DPRs for projects costing about 12% of the approved SAAP size is yet to be approved (Some States/UT have taken up projects in excess to their approved SAAP's size. The excess expenditure over approved SAAPs will be incurred by concerned State/UT Government).

The SHPSC is competent to approve replacement of projects costing up to 10% of each SAAP. In case replacement of projects beyond this amount, approval of the Apex Committee is required. No expenditure including A&OE shall be permitted to be booked against any non-starter project.

Large-scale modifications (more than 10%) in approved SAAPs at later stages in the Mission and consequent delay in commencement of projects might result in a scenario wherein the purpose of fast-track approvals of SAAPs and fund releases might stand defeated.
While proposing new projects/modifications in approved SAAPs, State may ensure that there is sufficient time left in the balance Mission period to complete the project and also to take care of reasonable time over-run resulting from unforeseen circumstances. The idea is to ensure that the projects are completed before the end of the Mission period.

**Decision of Apex Committee:**

It was discussed that with less than 2 years left in the scheduled time-frame of the Mission, the States/UTs who wish to modify their SAAPs must speed-up the process. The modification proposal must take into consideration the applicable provision of the Mission Guidelines along with the feasibility to complete new projects within the timeline of the Mission. The Apex Committee therefore decided that proposals for large scale modifications requiring the approval of the Apex Committee, must be sent to this Ministry latest by 31.07.2018 so that at least 18 months time is available for implementation.

**Agenda 7:  **Deadline for award of contracts

In its 18th meeting held on 09.10.2017, Apex Committee observed that under JnNURM, funding to many projects could not be continued due to abnormal delay in implementation of project in some States/UTs and which could be avoided in AMRUT. The Committee further noted that States/UTs should strive for implementation of the Mission in a time bound manner.

Para 9.4 of the Mission Guidelines entrusts and empowers the Apex Committee to review the utilization of allocations by States and reallocate funds from non-performing to the performing States/UTs based on their performance and potential to utilize funds.

With less than two years left in the scheduled time-frame of the Mission, the progress of the Mission has been reviewed. As on 28.05.2018, DPRs for projects costing about 89% of SAAPs have been approved but contracts for projects costing only about 56% of the total SAAP size have been awarded. It is also observed that 12 States/UTs, viz., Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Goa, Kerala, Lakshadweep, Meghalaya, Nagaland, Puducherry, Punjab, Tripura and Uttar Pradesh is yet to award contracts for projects costing at least 30% of their approved SAAP size. In case of Arunachal Pradesh, Assam and Meghalaya, not even a single project has been awarded till now.

**Decision of Apex Committee:**

The Apex Committee took cognizance of the scenario and emphasized on fast track implementation of the Mission. The Committee desired that all States/UTs must expedite project implementation.
Agenda 8: Approval of adjustment of funds released to 9 States/UTs towards 1st instalment of SAAP I / II against eligible projects of JnNURM.

States/UTs were entitled to receive continued support for eligible projects of JnNURM upto 31.03.2017. The Ministry had pending claims of funding for the eligible projects of JnNURM which were received up to 31.03.2017 and were concurred by IFD but where funds could not be released within the FY 2016-17 due to paucity of funds. With the approval of Hon’ble HUAM, it was decided that JnNURM liabilities of Rs.291.56 crore (which was already examined and concurred to by IFD) and liability of the projects which stood complete and eligible in all respects as on 20.03.2017 (approx. Rs.384.18 crore), can be considered for payment to States by adjusting the funds already released for SAAP-II before 31.03.2017 in the various States.

In addition to the above, with the approval of Hon’ble MoS (I/C), Housing & Urban Affairs, it was decided to adjust Rs. 125.3545 crore against erstwhile JnNURM projects eligible for funding in Rajasthan State. However, as only Rs. 105.22 crore was released to State Government as 1st instalment of committed CA against SAAP-II, it was therefore decided that Rs. 20.73 crore may be adjusted from funds released against SAAP-I to Government of Rajasthan, the rest being adjusted from SAAP-II. The proposal has been approved by Hon’ble MoS (I/C).

Therefore, an amount of Rs. 447.6977 crore for eligible JnNURM projects has been adjusted to 9 States/UTs from the funds released to these States as the 1st instalment of committed CA against SAAP-I / II under AMRUT. Further, an equal amount has been released to State Governments towards replenishment of SAAP I / II funds. The details are as under:

All amounts in Rs. Crore

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of State/UT</th>
<th>Amount adjusted for eligible JnNURM projects</th>
<th>Amount already released as 1st instalment of CA against SAAP- I / II</th>
<th>Amount for which Sanction has been issued for replenishment of the 1st instalment against SAAP- I / II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>46.5925</td>
<td>61.72</td>
<td>46.5925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>17.4178</td>
<td>119.84</td>
<td>17.4178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>61.4949</td>
<td>154.32</td>
<td>61.4949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>89.1299</td>
<td>172.56</td>
<td>89.1299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>64.8647</td>
<td>235.20</td>
<td>64.8647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>104.6294</td>
<td>105.22</td>
<td>104.6294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rajasthan*</td>
<td>20.7251</td>
<td>91.90</td>
<td>20.7251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Telangana</td>
<td>14.2334</td>
<td>55.44</td>
<td>14.2334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>24.4591</td>
<td>327.60</td>
<td>24.4591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>4.1509</td>
<td>128.40</td>
<td>4.1509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>447.6977</td>
<td>1452.20</td>
<td>447.6977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The amount has been adjusted from SAAP-I with the approval of Hon’ble MoS (I/C). The remaining adjustments have been made from SAAP-II.

Decision of Apex Committee:

The Apex Committee granted ex-post facto approval for adjustment of Rs. 447.6977 crore (Rupees Four Hundred Forty-Seven Crore Sixty-Nine Lakh and Seventy-Seven Thousand only) from the funds released as 1st instalment of CA against SAAP- I / II under AMRUT for eligible projects of JnNURM and release of equivalent amount for replenishment of 1st instalment of CA against SAAP I/II to the States/UTs as mentioned above.
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Agenda 9: Approval of adjustment of funds released to 2 States towards 1st installment of SAAP II against eligible bus funding projects of JnNURM.

The bus funding projects under JnNURM was over on 31.03.2014. However, the funding for the incomplete projects were continued under AMRUT up to 31.03.2017. Accordingly, a proposal was received for release of Rs. 6,23,65,759/- to Governments of Sikkim & Maharashtra as final instalment of ACA for bus funding projects in Gangtok (Sikkim) & Navi Mumbai (Maharashtra) sanctioned during the transition phase of NURM (2013-14). These projects stood eligible in all aspect for funding as on 31.03.2017. However, the amount could not be released in FY 2016-17 due to paucity of funds.

With the approval of Hon’ble Minister of State (I/C), it was decided that funds for the above mentioned bus funding project may be released in a similar way to the erstwhile JnNURM projects, i.e., by adjusting the funds already released for SAAP-II before 31.03.2017 in these States.

Accordingly, in consultation with IFD, an amount of Rs. 6,23,65,759/- for bus funding projects has been adjusted for Sikkim and Maharashtra from the funds released to these States as the 1st instalment of committed CA against SAAP-II under AMRUT. Further, an equal amount has been released to these States towards replenishment of SAAP II funds. The details are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of State</th>
<th>Amount adjusted for eligible JnNURM projects</th>
<th>Amount already released as 1st instalment of CA against SAAP-II</th>
<th>Amount for which revised Sanction has been issued for replenishment of the 1st instalment against SAAP-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>5,03,68,245</td>
<td>235,20,00,000</td>
<td>5,03,68,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>1,19,97,514</td>
<td>2,40,00,000</td>
<td>1,19,97,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,23,65,759</td>
<td>237,60,00,000</td>
<td>6,23,65,759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Decision of Apex Committee:

The Apex Committee granted ex-post facto approval for adjustment of Rs. 6,23,65,759/- (Rupees Six Crore Twenty-Three Lakh Sixty-Five Thousand Seven Hundred and Fifty-Nine only) from the funds released as 1st instalment of CA against SAAP-II under AMRUT for eligible bus funding projects of JnNURM and release of equivalent amount for replenishment of 1st instalment of CA against SAAP II to Maharashtra and Sikkim as mentioned above.


Rs. 104.04 crore has been released to 17 States/UTs for A&OE under AMRUT for FY 2017-18 with the approval of Secretary (HUA) and in consultation with IFD as per the Mission guidelines towards A&OE funds. The details are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>State/UT</th>
<th>Amount released (In Rs. Crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>11.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>3.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Decision of Apex Committee:**

The Apex Committee accorded ex-post facto approval for Rs. 104.04 crore (Rupees One Hundred Four Crore and Four Lakhs only) released for A&OE under AMRUT for FY 2017-18 to the 17 States/UTs as mentioned above.

**Agenda No 11: Approval of agencies selected for IRMA.**

The Apex Committee, in its 18th meeting held on 09.10.2017, approved selection of firms for appointment as Independent Review and Monitoring Agency (IRMA) under AMRUT in 5 clusters (18 States/UTs).

It was also decided that re-bidding may be done for the remaining 9 clusters viz., Punjab, Bihar, Kerala, Rajasthan, Gujarat, Karnataka, Haryana, Andhra Pradesh & Uttar Pradesh. Accordingly, the Ministry had invited Request for Expression of Interest (REoI) for the assignment of work of IRMA under AMRUT for these 9 clusters. 15 consulting firms were shortlisted for issue of separate cluster-wise Request for Proposals (RfPs) against which a total of 29 bids were received. The technical bids were opened and evaluated by the Procurement Committee following which it was found that in Bihar, Haryana and Punjab clusters, none of the bidders had qualified technically. Therefore, with the approval of Secretary (HUA), financial bids of the technically qualified firms for the remaining 6 clusters, viz., Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Kerala, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh were opened on 09.03.2018 in the presence of members of Procurement Committee and firms’ representatives.

The Procurement Committee evaluated the bid as per the RfP and recommended to award the contract to the bidders who quoted the lowest price for each of these 6 clusters. However, as the quoted price for Kerala and Rajasthan clusters appeared to be comparatively higher than the other 4 clusters, it was decided that financial negotiations may be carried out by Procurement Committee with the bidders recommended for Kerala and Rajasthan clusters, viz., M/s WAPCOS Ltd. and M/s PDCOR Ltd. respectively.
Consequently, these two firms were invited for financial negotiation in the Ministry on 03.04.2018. Representatives of both the firms attended the meeting and submitted their revised quotes. While M/s WAPCOS Ltd. reduced the price initially quoted by it for Kerala cluster, M/s PDCOR Ltd. declined to reduce its quoted price for Rajasthan cluster. The revised quote of Kerala cluster appeared to be comparable with the price quoted by the recommended bidders for the other 4 clusters in comparison to their SAAP size. However, the bid for Rajasthan cluster was comparatively much higher.

Accordingly, Secretary (HUA) accepted the recommendations of Procurement Committee (in its meeting held on 09.03.2018) for selection of bidders for the clusters of Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka and Uttar Pradesh at the initial prices quoted by them. For Kerala cluster, the revised quote was accepted. It was also decided that cluster-wise RfPs may be re-floated through open bidding for the clusters of Bihar, Haryana, Punjab and Rajasthan.

Hence, the accepted bid price (exclusive of GST) for 5 clusters for which IRMA has been selected is as mentioned below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of Cluster &amp; States in the Cluster</th>
<th>Cluster size as per RFP (in Rs. Crore)</th>
<th>Firm</th>
<th>Accepted Bid value in Rs. (Excluding GST)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Pradesh &amp; Telangana)</td>
<td>4,556.43</td>
<td>M/s WAPCOS Ltd., Gurugram</td>
<td>3,49,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gujarat (Gujarat)</td>
<td>4,884.42</td>
<td>M/s BLG Construction Services(P) Ltd., Jodhpur</td>
<td>2,51,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Karnataka (Karnataka)</td>
<td>4,952.87</td>
<td>M/s BLG Construction Services(P) Ltd., Jodhpur</td>
<td>2,51,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kerala (Kerala &amp; Lakshadweep)</td>
<td>2,362.99</td>
<td>M/s WAPCOS Ltd., Gurugram</td>
<td>2,12,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh (Uttar Pradesh)</td>
<td>11,421.67</td>
<td>M/s WAPCOS Ltd., Gurugram</td>
<td>3,96,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>28,178.38</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>14,59,00,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further, RfPs for the remaining 4 clusters, viz., Bihar, Haryana, Punjab and Rajasthan have been floated by the Ministry on 15.05.2018, open for application to all interested bidders.

**Decision of Apex Committee:**

The Apex Committee approved the selection of IRMA under AMRUT for 5 clusters (Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Kerala and Uttar Pradesh) and accepted the recommendation for re-bidding for the remaining 4 clusters, viz., Punjab, Bihar, Rajasthan and Haryana.

**Agenda 12:** Ex post-facto approval of release of funds for implementation of GIS based master-plan sub-scheme under AMRUT.

Rs. 45.71 Crore has been released to 18 States/UTs and NRSC, DoS for implementation of GIS based master plan sub-scheme of AMRUT. The details of funds released are as under:
Decision of Apex Committee:

The Apex Committee granted ex-post facto approval to release of Rs. 45,71,31,566/- (Rupees Forty-Five Crore Seventy-One Lakh Thirty-One Thousand Five Hundred and Sixty-Six only) to 18 States/UTs and NRSC, DoS as mentioned above.

Agenda 13: Additional expenditure incurred on International Training Programme on Capacity Building in Singapore

Concurrence of IFD was obtained for incurring an expenditure of Rs. 45 lakh from the A&OE funds under AMRUT for organizing International Training Programme on Capacity building in the field of Urban Planning and Governance at Singapore.

However, actual expenditure of Rs. 52,02,401/- was incurred for completion of training of all 4 batches. IFD has concurred to granting additional funds for payment of pending bills on account of the excess expenditure incurred, i.e., Rs. 7,02,401/- and the payments have been released.

Decision of Apex Committee:

The Apex Committee granted ex-post facto approval to release of Rs. 7,02,401/- (Rupees Seven Lakh Two Thousand Four Hundred and One only) on account of additional expenditure incurred for organizing International Training Programme on Capacity building in the field of Urban Planning and Governance at Singapore.
Agenda 14: Payment made to NICSI for development of TCPO website

An amount of Rs. 8,46,346/- was released to NICSI for redesigning the TCPO website.

**Decision of Apex Committee:**

The Apex Committee granted ex-post facto approval to release of Rs. 8,46,346/- (Rupees Eight Lakh Forty-Six Thousand Three Hundred and Forty-Six only) to NICSI for redesigning the TCPO website.

Agenda 15: Advance payment released to NIUA for 3rd party audit of OBPS

An amount of Rs. 24,15,000/- was concurred by IFD for conducting audit of the Online Building Permission System (OBPS) implemented in Municipal Corporation of Delhi and Mumbai from NIUA. Out of this, an amount of Rs. 12,07,500/- has been released to NIUA as first instalment.

**Decision of Apex Committee:**

The Apex Committee granted ex-post facto approval to release of Rs. 24,15,000/- (Rupees Twenty-Four Lakh and Fifteen Thousand only) to NIUA as first instalment for conducting 3rd party-audit of OBPS in Municipal Corporation of Delhi and Mumbai.

Agenda 16: Advance payment released to NIUA for 3rd party-audit of Reform implementation under AMRUT

An amount of Rs. 1,46,06,025/- has been concurred by IFD for payment to NIUA for conducting third-party audit of the performance of the States/UTs regarding implementation of reforms under AMRUT. Out of this, an amount of Rs. 58,42,000/- has been released to NIUA as first instalment.

**Decision of Apex Committee:**

The Apex Committee granted ex-post facto approval to release of Rs. 58,42,000/- (Rupees Fifty-Eight Lakh Forty-Two Thousand only) to NIUA as first instalment for conducting 3rd party-audit of the performance of the States/UTs regarding implementation of reforms under AMRUT.

*****
ANNEXURE-I

List of Participants in the meeting of the 19th Apex Committee under AMRUT held on 29.05.2018

1. Shri Durga Shanker Mishra, Secretary (HUA).  
2. Shri Shiv Das Meena, Joint Secretary (AMRUT), MoHUA.  
3. Shri Kumar V. Pratap, Joint Secretary, Department of Economic Affairs, MoF.  
4. Shri Ajoy Sharma, Secretary (Local Government), Punjab.  
5. Shri Jagan Shah, Director, NIUA.  
6. Shri Vinay Pratap Singh, Director (AMRUT-II), MoHUA.  
7. Shri Gurjeet Singh Dhillon, Director (AMRUT-I), MoHUA.  
8. Shri Mrityunjay Behera, Director (DEA), MoF.  
9. Shri Shailendra Vikram Singh, Director (IFD), MoHUA.  
10. Shri S. K. Singh, Director.  
11. Smt. M. Janaki, Deputy Secretary (UT-I), MoHUA.  
12. Dr. M. Deendayalan, State Mission Director (AMRUT), Puducherry.  
13. Shri Rohit Kakkar, Deputy Advisor (CPHEEO), MoHUA.  
14. Shri R. Srinivas, Town and Country Planner, TCPO.  
15. Mohd. Monis Khan, Town and Country Planner, TCPO.  
16. Shri N. C. Sharma, Additional Director (UD), Tripura.  
17. Shri Rajeev Kumar Das, Under Secretary (AMRUT-IIA), MoHUA.  
18. Shri H.C. Prasad, Under Secretary (AMRUT-IIB), MoHUA.  
19. Shri Rajesh Kumar, Under Secretary (IFD-VI), MoHUA.  
20. Shri Pankaj Kumar, Under Secretary (IFD-III), MoHUA.  
21. Smt. Reema Jain, Deputy Director (AMRUT), MoHUA.  
22. Smt. Neha Singh, Assistant Director (AMRUT), MoHUA.
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